

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_98959
Title of the Manuscript:	Specific Attenuation Estimation in Perturbed Radio Wave Propagation using Artificial Neural Networks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></li> </ol>	<p>I am of the opinion that this paper is quite good for scientific development in the field of wireless communication. This paper not only implements the CNN method but is also equipped with measurement results that the process is carried out properly. It is necessary to discuss further how to validate measurement results in a predetermined city area.</p> <p>The title of this paper is in accordance with the contents and discussion in it.</p> <p>The abstract has been written quite well and contains the background of the problem, the methods used and the results achieved.</p> <p>The composition of the structure of the paper is good enough, the author only needs to detail the material and method sections so that the contributions of the research that have been made further highlight.</p> <p>Writing and how to analyze is good enough. The author is required to change the presentation of Figures 3 - 8 by not making a print screen of the simulation software used, but using a copy paste of the images generated from the simulation.</p> <p>The reference is good.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>The authors need to proof this paper has been proof read by native proof reader.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wahyu Pamungkas
Department, University & Country	Institut Teknologi Telkom Purwokerto, Indonesia