

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_98869
Title of the Manuscript:	Client's Satisfaction with Child Health Care Delivery in Tamale Teaching Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES Given the crucial role of the health sector, I highly recommend this article to be published as it will add knowledge to the health and scientific community</p> <p>The title seems suitable since it gives a reader a clear picture and capture attention of the readers</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES. This is due to the fact that respondents were correctly selected and thus findings become reliable to decision makers</p> <p>YES</p> <p>I have no any additional suggestions</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Check and review the language	
Optional/General comments	Author guidelines must be followed	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Africanus Calist Sarwatt
Department, University & Country	Institute of Rural Development Planning, Tanzania