

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_98673
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the level of Awareness on Transmission and use of Protective Devices against covid – 19 among non – Clinical staff of National Eye Center, Kaduna, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- Yes from an epidemiological point view it is important 2- Yes suitable 3- The Introduction is too long , this is not a review article, please summarize in just 2-3 informative topic –related pages 4- The clear aim should be clarified in the abstract and the end of introduction The introduction is important Is very short (with many other sections included) and needs to be clarified more and more with recent references. So the introduction needs reshuffling . 5- It needs more new references to be add 6- All the sections is well organized and well documented but need reshuffling summarization 7- No: the abstract of the article is not comprehensive needs more detail regarding novelty and aim and the importance of the topic. 8- All the Tables should be summarized in an appropriate manner . 9- Even the written manner and style of the results should be summarized and well written. 10- The results need a good statistical analysis 11- Discussion section : This is appropriate. This section Needs to be enriched with new recent works and sufficient interpretation with mentioning researchers not just the number of the references related to this topic. 12- No: the manuscript is not scientifically correct. Due to the review style is clear in the manuscript . so this is not a review it is an epidemiological study summarization is needed	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chateen I Ali Pambuk
Department, University & Country	College of Dentistry, University of Tikrit, Iraq