

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98683
Title of the Manuscript:	The Challenges and Prospects of E-learning in Bangladesh- A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This review article entitled "The Challenges and Prospects of E-learning in Bangladesh- A Review" 1. This paper is important for the current demand for e-learning and its future prospects. 2. In the title, need to specify the area of research (for example, school or university, or professional education). If you focus on the general then you have to categorize/differentiate the different levels of learning in the main text. 3. Appropriately titled the manuscript 4. Abstract section is well described. 5. All subsections are appropriately titled 6. This manuscript is scientifically correct. 7. Most of the references are before 2017. Need to update with recent 5 years references. COMPULSORY TO UPDATE ALL THE REFERENCES. 8. In the conclusion part, further direction/ideas should be given based on the current study.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Nil	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vetriselvan Subramaniyan
Department, University & Country	School of Medicine, Monash University, Malaysia