

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98683
Title of the Manuscript:	The Challenges and Prospects of E-learning in Bangladesh- A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, though there were subsections that were discussed in a one-sentence paragraph without any in-text citations. It is best to expound on this and cite the source accordingly. It may be better to include in the title not just a review but a systematic review. Just a minor modification: Challenges and Prospects of e-Learning in Bangladesh: A Systematic Review Yes, but it is recommended to explain it further, not a one-sentence paragraph. Beef up the literature review and references used. Preferable over the last 5 years. The discussions are limited to the studies searched online. Explain in the methodology clearly how the article search was saturated. How did the researcher come up with the final literature to present and discuss in the study. Some are recent studies which is good but significant number of studies are outdated.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but it is best to subject to stylistics and editing and have it grammar checked.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dennis G. Caballes
Department, University & Country	The National Teachers College, Philippines