

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_98785
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of youth about substance abuse: The case of Ghanaian youth on alcohol usage
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No should be improved</p> <p>It should be improved</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The aim of this study was to systematically review studies on Ghanaian youth's perceptions of alcohol use. Although the title of the study is called a systematic review, the presentation style and content are not suitable for this. First and foremost, the authors should have made the purpose of the study clear. Although it is stated that the studies on the perspectives of Ghanaian youth on alcohol were reviewed, the table given includes information on Taiwan and other countries. It is not clear whether these studies were conducted in the Ghanaian population and published in other countries. In other words, the purpose and hypothesis of the study should be clearly stated and it should be made clear which articles were identified by searching which databases and which keywords. Inclusion and exclusion criteria should be clearly defined. A flow chart is required. I suggest that the authors redesign this article by reviewing the PRISMA guideline (https://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/prisma/).</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lut Tamam
Department, University & Country	Cukurova University, Turkey