

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_99368
Title of the Manuscript:	Scholastic Difficulty: Scale Development and Implications for Future Research
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- Yes , It is very important to acknowledge difficulties that face learners so we can find solutions for them and improve their path to learn better. Of course searching this issue scientifically is the best way to discuss them and guiding the undertakers to the scientific programs to be involved in the learning process. 2- The title is good and direct. 3- Yes, but it is just needed to be written according to a specific style. 4- Yes 5- Yes 6- The references are not so many, but it may because of the topic itself.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is appropriate	
Optional/General comments	The paper is good	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tahany Abdelhady Abdelrazek Kol
Department, University & Country	Al-Baha University, Egypt