

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_99397
Title of the Manuscript:	Breastmilk Jaundice – Could it be more common than we realize?
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes. Very important and common neonatal problem.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Manuscript needs some revisions. Values of Conjugated and unconjugated Bilirubin are probable interchanged in some places. AAP recommendations should have been followed for prescribing phototherapy. Breastfeeding should have been continued as per AAP recommendations. Certain metabolic diseases and syndromes like Gilbert syndrome can also present with similar presentation and should have been ruled out.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comment	Rephrasing certain sentences and paragraphs specially in case 1 required.	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dinesh Yadav
Department, University & Country	Madhav Hospital, India