

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_98965
Title of the Manuscript:	SYMPTOMS AND PREDISPOSING FACTORS OF BREAST AND CERVICAL CANCER AMONG WOMEN EMPLOYEE IN A SOUTH WEST NIGERIAN UNIVERSITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	The proposed article is scientifically coherent, it is clear, however, some parts need to be reworked. Yes Yes Introduction rewrite, materials and methods, results and discussion parts some references are required. So many research work done on it in African countries & also in Nigeria, however, few references given by the authors, required more references in introduction and discussion part. Some details are highlighted in the text in the attached file, it is up to the authors. This Ms: is human health importance, so others reviewers to decide whether accept or not accept them	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good work	
Optional/General comments	I recommend accept the manuscript after making the major corrections in the text.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suday Prasad
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India