

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_98914
Title of the Manuscript:	Training of university catering stakeholders in good hygiene practices: a prerequisite to controlling the risks of Collective Food Poisoning (CFP) in Senegal's university campuses.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The authors have isolated a number of bacteria from food samples and food handlers and have emphasized on training of food handlers to reduce the risk of food poisoning in Senegal's University Campuses Need to be modified as 'Isolation and Characterization of Food Contaminating Microbes from Body Parts of Food Handlers and Food Samples in Universities of Senegal No, the authors have over ambitiously concluded about the necessity of training of food handlers. They may suggest it based on contaminated food samples No, the entire manuscript need re-draft and re-presentation As far as the isolation of bacteria is concerned, the authors have used standard methodology, but they need to focus on food borne pathogens No, the references are scattered 1. The authors should focus on isolation and characterization of food borne pathogens from food samples and food handlers 2. The number of samples should be more 3. The authors should identify the source of contamination like hygiene issue, sanitation, contaminated surfaces or the contaminated food samples 4. The authors need to focus on microbiological content	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language need thorough checking of grammar and syntax	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript may be accepted only after major revisio	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amar P. Garg
Department, University & Country	Shobhit Institute of Engineering & Technology, India