

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99002
Title of the Manuscript:	"Physico-Biochemical Evaluation of Certain Promising Varieties of Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.)"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. It lacks laboratory protocols, methodology and way of explanation</p> <p>2. "Physico-Biochemical property Evaluation of Certain Promising Varieties of Chickpea</p> <p>3. Methodology is not clearly stated. The objective of the experiment should be indicated.</p> <p>4. Sub sections are written in violation of research ethics.(there has not been seen such like research article written as A, B,C...)</p> <p>5. No</p> <p>6. satisfactory</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Still it lacks grammatically fluency	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The author needs to follow the authors' guideline of the publisher and rewrite the whole paper in line with research manner. I do not sense it as a research rather it shall be categorised as an assignment or a simple report submitted to a laboratory technician.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asmamaw Amogne Mekonen
Department, University & Country	Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Ethiopia