

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_99020
Title of the Manuscript:	Inhibitory Effect of Gallium Nitrate Against Staphylococcus aureus and Its Action on The Formation of Biofilms on Titanium Surfaces
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Reviewer's comment 1) Shorten the aims section to be more cons and focus on the significance rather than background 2) What is the significance of it being a gram-positive opportunistic pathogen and where it's found? Consider giving more context here. 3) What is the danger with biofilm-associated infections” Yes, this manuscript is important as it focuses on a rising public health concern- antibiotic resistant biofilms. I believe that the work this research puts forth is important for the future of finding methods to destroy bacterial biofilms. The title is suitable. Yes. For a minor modification, please see comments above. Yes, the subsections are appropriate. Section 3.3 can afford to have a few more subsections for clarity for the readers and to stay in line with the length of the other sections. Yes, this manuscript is scientifically correct. Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is of high scientific quality.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shruthi Aravindan
Department, University & Country	USA