

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_98988
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinant of Recent In-Migrants in Yogyakarta using Bayesian Regression Logistics
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May be • "Determination of Recent In-Migrants in Yogyakarta using Bayesian Regression Logistics" is more accurate title. • Yes • Yes • Yes • References are enough while in my opinion that these are too much. References should be written in a system considering either alphabetical order or publication year order. Also they should be written in same style. 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	80%	
Optional/General comments	There should be written citation as "Solimun-Fernandes [2]" in place of "Solimun and Fernandes [2]"	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sangeeta Garg
Department, University & Country	Mewar Institute of Management, CCS University, India