

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_99109
Title of the Manuscript:	MORPHOMETRIC STUDY OF THE BREAST: A STUDY OF 66 NIGERIAN FEMALE STUDENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT, RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with Reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<p>The research is significant but falls short in methodology</p> <p>No. The authors deviated from the title and started discussing comparisons with other works that the title didn't suggest. Either the authors remove the comparisons or rephrase the title to suit it.</p> <p>Yes, but with a lot of main work repetition</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>No</p> <p>No, only about 5% are from the last five years. The authors should update their references.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The manuscript contains a lot of grammatical errors. It should be grammar checked and corrected as well. If published as it is, it will go a long way to reduce the work's quality further.	
Optional/General comments	This work may be necessary for some of your readerships, but I question the credibility of the sample size.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uchewa Onwe Obinna
Department, University & Country	Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Nigeria