

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_99101
Title of the Manuscript:	BEYOND THE CLASSROOM WALLS: ABL FOR A REAL-WORLD MATH EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1.The manuscript is important for the scientific community in that active learning helps students to interact and learn productively. 2.The title is suitable but write out the abbreviation first Activity Based Learning (ABL) 3/Mostly yes. Be clear as to the aim of the article and the method. Give a few ideas for future research and recommendations for the teaching/learning context. Also, are these EFL/ESL learners and/or native speakers of English and in which country. 4. The main part of the article are the methods used. This title is fine but the sub sections should either be numbered or in smaller fonts and indented to show they are part of the method section. 5.Yes, it is scientifically correct and the maths examples are clear. 6. More references are needed when information about active learning is needed. Also, more recent references should be included. Much has been in active learning since the latest reference of 2016 in the text. Check out sources on Active learning in elementary and high school research 2022 - Search (bing.com) the web. Have the authors or others in their country tried out any active learning in their classes. If so, it would be interesting to add any research done in their country.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but the article needs to be edited for any language errors.	
Optional/General comments	Active learning is well researched globally.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nahla Nola Bacha
Department, University & Country	Lebanese American University, Lebanon