

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_98804
Title of the Manuscript:	Iatrogenic dissection of the right coronary artery during diagnostic coronary angiography, a case report
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This manuscript is a case report on Iatrogenic coronary dissection. The subject of this study is interesting and relevant in cardiology clinical practice, since procedures such as coronary catheterization (angiography/angioplasty) are commonly performed. The title and abstract are adequate and reflect the information described in the body of the text. The manuscript is comprehensible and structured in appropriate subsections for the type of study. Some suggestions to further improve the case study: 1. Some more information about the patient could be added, such as past and family history, use of drugs and/or other medications prior to the incident. Anthropometric and ethnic characteristics and type of occupation exercised by the patient. 2. Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4 could present in the caption information about the date or day of the event in relation to the event that led the patient to the emergency room to facilitate the chronological understanding of the facts described. Additionally, the image could contain an image magnification and/or metric measurement/scale bar (eg a measurement bar in cm or mm). 3. Tables 1, 2 and 3 could have a caption with the reference information from which they were obtained. 4. The text does not cite information about ethical approval of this study. Research involving human subjects, requires approval by a corresponding ethical compliance body. This is a case report and there is no mention in the text that this study has been submitted/approved by an ethics committee or any informed patient consent permitting the publication of his case/information.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Quenia Gomes da Silva Allahdadi
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil