

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_99343
Title of the Manuscript:	Intracranial hemorrhage in pregnancy : case report and review of literature
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The authors describe a case of intraventricular hemorrhage in a pregnant woman. In short, this patient has a relatively high incidence in obstetrics and gynecology and is not considered a rare or uncommon case. But the author has done a series of literature reviews to take us through the situation. 1. Poor language, first sentence of abstract, Intracranial hemorrhage during pregnancy is a rare but life-threatening event during pregnancy. Why you need two "during pregnancy". There are also a lot of grammatical errors. 2. Take pictures directly with your phone?? This is unacceptable. 3. The discussion is logically confused. The main lines are: introduction of cerebral hemorrhage, pregnant and perinatal cerebral hemorrhage, possible treatment, research and discussion of such conditions. 4. The paper cites various forms of literature. In short, it is difficult for the article to be accepted for publication unless major revisions are made.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yu Shen
Department, University & Country	Nanchang University, China