

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_99058
Title of the Manuscript:	ULTRASONOGRAPHIC ESTIMATION OF GESTATIONAL AGE USING FETAL KIDNEY LENGTH
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	yes yes 1. This paper proposes the ULTRASONOGRAPHIC ESTIMATION OF GESTATIONAL AGE USING FETAL KIDNEY LENGTH 2. I have some recommendations and remarks: 3. 2- Try to show more experiences results for each topic 4. 3- Use and study other recent methods. 5. you should include the section references. 6. The paper needs revisions; the second round of major revisions is needed, both concerning the structure and content of the paper. 7. The approach section (which describes the approach) is very brief, the work of the authors should be well detailed and clearly presented. 8. if it is possible to add a section where you cite related works and give background information	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yousef Farhaoui
Department, University & Country	Moulay Ismail University, Morocco