

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_99230
Title of the Manuscript:	Cocoa Certification in Cameroon: Socioeconomic determinants and performance of producers in Mbam Division, Center Region.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The importance of the manuscript: study analysed the constraints and challenges of the certification of cocoa in the mbam division, center region of Cameroon. The results show that there is an inverse relationship between the size of the cocoa plantation and the adoption of certification. it also shows that the producers of certified cocoa have a better technical and economic performance than the others. the study recommends that institutions should multiply financial incentives and other facilities for certified cocoa producers so that the remuneration for their effort is fair and equitable to enable them live a decent life. 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. However, the author/the authors needs to write more concisely. 4. The subsections and strucre of the manuscript are appropriate. However, the author/the authors needs correct the way of quoting references (Don't use [2], [3], [15] ..., Use (author name, the year of publication). 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. However, the author/the authors needs to add recommendations in Results and discussion (Recommendation the author/the authors has put in conclusion.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	This paper is required compulsory revision comments.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nguyen Thi Dung
Department, University & Country	Bac Giang Agriculture and Forestry University, Vietnam