

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SAJSSSE_98787</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessing the Potential Impact of Large Language Models on Labor Markets and Business Cycles in China: A Preliminary Study</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b>  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. This manuscript is important for scientific community as it discusses the important facts about the impact potential of Large Language Models (LLMs) in the labour markets and business cycles of China. The findings will highlight critical exposures for major stakeholders while making policy decisions. 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes 7. In 4.3, rewrite the 1 <sup>st</sup> paragraph under Table 1 as the information mentioned are not matching with the Table	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b>  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	1. Yes 2. In 2.2, in paragraphs 2,3,4,5, replace the words 'an LLM' to 'a LLM' 3. In 3.3, 3 <sup>rd</sup> sentence, replace the words 'would use' with the word 'used'; in 5 <sup>th</sup> sentence, replace the words 'may also use' with the words 'also used'	
<b>Optional/General comments</b>	The article is comprehensive and covers appropriate information of the topic under study. It can be accepted for publication after making the above said minor corrections.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S. Jaya Bharathi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Coimbatore Institute of Engineering and Technology, India</b>