

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_98787
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Potential Impact of Large Language Models on Labor Markets and Business Cycles in China: A Preliminary Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes. This is a unique article based on the data collected through qualitative method specially case study. Structurally it is more appropriate which is needed for a scientific research journal. 2. Yes, however there is the question about the title mentioning "Preliminary study". It may be replaced by "a case study". 3. Yes. 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes. Most of the references are between 2018 to 2021, it is up to date.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1.0 Manuscript's language is modest, which is easily understandable by the readers of all levels.	
Optional/General comments	This paper is acceptable	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihir Kumar Roy
Department, University & Country	City University, Bangladesh