

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_98998
Title of the Manuscript:	Intrapulmonary Use of Hydrogen Peroxide in Respiratory Obstruction: Initial Results Demonstrate the Possibility of Airway Recanalization and Blood Reoxygenation Through the Lungs. An Update
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Comments for authors and editorial teams: The study entitled " Intrapulmonary Use of Hydrogen Peroxide in Respiratory Obstruction: Initial Results Demonstrate the Possibility of Airway Recanalization and Blood Reoxygenation Through the Lungs. An Update (Manuscript Number: Ms_JPRI_98998)" has been written scientifically and interesting. However, some minor concerns should be considered to improve the manuscript: 1- Abstract: Acceptable 2- Keywords could be: <i>Lung samples; respiratory obstruction; hypoxia; catalase; hydrogen peroxide</i> 3- Introduction: Acceptable. 4- Conclusion: Acceptable. 8- I could not see running title, informed census, ethical code, funding support declaration and acknowledgment in manuscript. Final decision: Manuscript is acceptable for publication after minor correction	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I am not right reviewer for language/English quality of manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kazemi A.
Department, University & Country	Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Iran