

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_99142</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Research on formwork construction technology of railway bridge</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Method Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>1.-</b> For the scientific community of prefabricated structures and the progress of these technologies that allow us to visualize important structural changes for the improvement of structures that need to be evaluated and restructured due to the utility it has for society, if I consider from the progress obtained that is important for that scientific society <b>2.-</b> if I consider the title appropriate <b>3.-</b> It does not have the structure, I do not identify the methodology applied in terms of the type of research and its design, nor the results found and the conclusions reached <b>4.-</b> the structure of an article would be introduction, methodology, results, conclusions and recommendations and only see the introduction some parts that could be said to be a theoretical part, and explanations of the different images of formwork that could be identified as methodology but do not identify it as just like the other parts of the structures of what would be a normal article <b>5.-</b> It depends on the point of view that is seen, it may be correct for the technologies of the formwork in civil engineering, but important parts in the manuscript need to be identified, which of course depends on the structure requested by the journal. <b>6.-</b> They are not recent of the 18 references cited, only three meet the validity period, which have publication years from 2018 to 2020, the rest are very old, I have no reference articles to suggest	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	It is technical language that is required for this type of article	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ninoska Margarita Paz Palacios</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Bolivariana De Venezuela, Venezuela</b>