

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_99048
Title of the Manuscript:	On Fibonacci Range Labeling For Standard Shell Graphs
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The manuscript is written in a complete way regarding the requirements of the scientific research methodology Citations must be written either with the names of the authors and the year or the number found in the references, i.e. one of them Proposition 2.1. , lemma 2.1, corollary 2.1 are neither quoted from where they were taken, nor are they said to be the results of the authors, nor are they verified - this dilemma should be clarified The figures do not look clean, they do not look clear, it is possible to enlarge and clarify more about them The literature in general is old, consult the contemporary literature of recent years	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language must be check and revised	
Optional/General comments	Follow journal guidelines	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shpetim Rexhepi
Department, University & Country	Mother Teresa University, North Macedonia