

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_98737
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of organic fertilizers on the optimization of growth and yield parameters of lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) in the city of Daloa
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The work presented is of importance in the field of agriculture, however it requires a revision due to some errors in the writing; Take care of the form, style, syntax, and give order and coherence to what is intended to be demonstrated</p> <p>2. The title of the manuscript seems appropriate.</p> <p>3. It is suggested to improve the writing of the summary, taking care of the order of the ideas; In addition to adjusting to the essential elements that it must contain.</p> <p>4. The sections and structure are correct (except for one of them that is marked-avoid: Results and Discussion)</p> <p>5. The work can be improved both in substance and in the form of writing.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient; however, they must be written taking care of the style/form (according to the guidelines suggested by the journal), both in each of the paragraphs they support, and at the end of the list of references. In fact, it is necessary to review the order of appearance of each one of them, starting with number one, and successively from there.</p> <p>The introduction must have one of the most important foundations (precision basis) to carry out the research, considering the chemical component(s) (N, P, K, Ca and Mg) in <i>Tithonia diversifolia</i> and <i>Thevetia neriifolia</i>, which is of great relevance; In addition to this, the work should have data from the chemical-physical determinations to be able to discuss and have greater scientific support for the benefit of using or recommending: <i>Tithonia diversifolia</i> and <i>Thevetia neriifolia</i> for sustainable agriculture in the study area.</p> <p>It is necessary for the authors to review and adapt the manuscript with respect to the form of citation (in citation order from 1 to 14), both in each of the paragraphs they support, and in the list of references (based on the citation guideline). the magazine, or with the characteristics of how it should be presented)</p> <p>Some details are marked in the manuscript (notes and in color - underlining), which must be taken care of by the authors.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>A minor revision is required regarding the writing of English Where available, the URLs of the references are provided. The text must comply with the style and bibliographic requirements described in the guidelines for authors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].</p> <p>Necessary suggestions to carry out</p> <p>Reference to a journal:</p> <p>1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98.</p> <p>Reference to a book:</p> <p>2. Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM, Moore PK. Pharmacology. 5th ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2003.</p> <p>Reference to Web-resource or Electronic articles.</p> <p>3. Hugo JT, Mondal SC. Parallels between tissue repair and embryo morphogenesis: a conceptual framework. Global Health. 2006;16:4. Accessed 29 March 2012. Available: http://www.globalizationandhealth.com/content/1/1/14.</p> <p>It is important to consider the guidelines of writing - editing that gives the journal, and as an example the following conditions can be applied at work:</p> <p>This predominance of <i>A. lumbricoides</i> than any other GIPs agreed with some other reports [23,15]. On the other hand, da Silva et al. [19], Khanal et al. [2] and Hernández et al. [25] found <i>T. trichiura</i> predominant</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benjamin Valladares Carranza
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of the State of Mexico, Mexico