

**Direct and indirect effects of quantitative traits on yield of bitter gourd
(*Momordica charantia*) hybrids**

ABSTRACT: The present research was conducted using 16 different hybrids of bitter gourd to study path coefficients of various yield-contributing traits and was conducted in the Department of Horticulture, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru College of Agriculture and Research Institute, Karaikal, Puducherry, India. The results showed that the length of the vine at final harvest (10.3228) had the greatest direct positive effect on the fruit yield of vine⁻¹, and then the days until the first fruit harvest (5.2426), flesh thickness (1.7492), the node with the first female flower (1.7188) and the number of primary branches of vine⁻¹ in the final harvest (0.5681) and thus showed the importance of these traits in choosing a desirable bitter gourd genotype.

Key words: Bitter gourd, Path coefficient, Selection, Direct and indirect effect.

INTRODUCTION

Vegetables are being the vital component of a well-balanced diet. India is the world's second-largest producer of vegetables, with a total area under cultivation of 10.10 million hectares and a production of 185.88 million t. (National Horticultural Board Statistics, 2018-19). Bitter gourd is considered as one of the important commercial cucurbits, belonging to the Cucurbitaceae family (Heiser, 1979). Crop improvement through selection is the preliminary approach still followed in the development of any crop and fixing the right choice of traits to decide upon selection of a favourable genotype decides the success of the crop improvement programme. Path coefficient analysis being an efficient tool in elucidating the direct and indirect effect of each character towards yield, it would give an idea about the actual contribution of each independent character on dependent character, the yield (Isalm *et al.*, 2009). The study of path analysis of various characters determining yield on bitter gourd is presented here.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was carried out in Karaikal, UT of Puducherry, India, at the Department of Horticulture of Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru College of Agriculture and Research Center during Rabi Summer 2021. The material consisted of 16 distinct hybrids of bitter gourds from different parts of the country viz., Palee, Pragati 065, Noor, NS 4501, NBGH 470, NBGH 676, NBGH 951, US 475, Abhishek, Vivek, BGHS 444, BGHS 555, BGHS 4221, BGHS 8848, Naveen and SS 5553. Three replications of the experiment were set up using a Randomized Block Design. Observations were recorded on five plants from each replication in each hybrid on important growth and yield contributing characters viz., Days to first male flower anthesis, Days to first female flower anthesis, Node bearing first male flower, Node bearing first female flower, Days to first fruit harvest, Fruit length, Fruit girth, Number of fruits⁻¹ vine, Weight of individual fruit, Flesh thickness, Number of primary branches vine⁻¹ at final harvest, vine length at final harvest, Days to final harvest and fruit yield vine⁻¹ and the data was analysed in accordance with Dewey and Lu's (1959) recommendations to divide the genotypic correlation coefficient of component traits with fruit yield vine⁻¹ into direct and indirect effects.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The study of direct and indirect effects of characters is made possible by path coefficient analysis, which also quantifies their proportional contribution to yield, which was done at the genotypic level to differentiate the direct and indirect impacts of various traits on fruit yield vine⁻¹.

From Table 1 it is observed that the Maximum positive direct effect on fruit yield vine⁻¹ was exerted by vine length at final harvest (10.3228), followed by days to first fruit harvest (5.2426), flesh thickness (1.7492), node bearing first female flower (1.7188) and number of primary branches vine⁻¹ at final harvest (0.5681). Similar findings have been reported by Kumari *et al.* (2018) for vine length at final harvest and node bearing first female flower, Jatav *et al.* (2016) for days to first fruit harvest, Gupta *et al.* (2015) for number of primary branches vine⁻¹ at final harvest and Rani *et al.* (2015) for flesh thickness in bitter gourd.

Table 1. Direct and indirect effects of various characters on yield of bitter gourd hybrids

	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	X ₅	X ₆	X ₇	X ₈	X ₉	X ₁₀	X ₁₁	X ₁₂	X ₁₃	r _g with yield
X ₁	-1.3135	-5.4520	-0.4620	-0.2759	4.2812	0.6905	-0.5671	0.3704	-0.2731	0.6365	0.2078	1.2749	0.8634	-0.019
X ₂	-1.1536	-6.2070	0.2754	0.1115	3.9970	-0.3622	-0.5787	0.9925	-1.2998	0.8298	0.1926	2.3501	0.8878	0.035
X ₃	-0.0747	0.2103	-8.1273	0.5083	-1.2483	1.9782	0.4630	1.1494	1.8571	-0.3540	-0.1978	5.4289	-2.0305	-0.437**
X ₄	0.2108	-0.4027	-2.4036	1.7188	-1.1947	0.8412	0.2882	2.6777	0.5983	-0.2828	-0.3513	-0.9946	-1.1311	-0.426**
X ₅	-1.0725	-4.7323	1.9352	-0.3917	5.2426	-1.2405	-0.2667	0.5112	-1.0794	0.7686	0.2787	-1.1750	1.3916	0.170
X ₆	0.2049	-0.5080	3.6327	-0.3267	1.4694	-4.4258	-0.1688	-0.0302	-3.3374	0.8276	0.3034	1.7169	1.2121	0.570**
X ₇	-0.4934	-2.3795	2.4923	-0.3281	0.9262	-0.4947	-1.5097	-1.0696	-2.7723	1.0509	0.2856	3.3952	1.4718	0.575**
X ₈	0.1251	1.5847	2.4030	-1.1839	-0.6895	-0.0343	-0.4154	-3.8875	0.1663	-0.1182	0.2536	1.8307	0.4808	0.515**
X ₉	-0.0821	-1.8472	3.4558	-0.2355	1.2956	-3.3819	-0.9583	0.1481	-4.3676	1.2857	0.3459	3.4453	1.4789	0.583**
X ₁₀	-0.4779	-2.9446	1.6447	-0.2779	2.3035	-2.0940	-0.9070	0.2628	-3.2103	1.7492	0.2426	2.9018	1.2585	0.451**
X ₁₁	-0.4805	-2.1040	2.8301	-1.0628	2.5722	-2.3639	-0.7591	-1.7354	-2.6597	0.7470	0.5681	3.6072	1.4493	0.609**
X ₁₂	-0.1622	-1.4131	-4.2743	-0.1656	-0.5967	-0.7361	-0.4966	-0.6894	-1.4577	0.4917	0.1985	10.3228	-0.7301	0.291*
X ₁₃	0.4495	2.1844	-6.5416	0.7706	-2.8920	2.1265	0.8808	0.7409	2.5604	-0.8726	-0.3264	2.9874	-2.5227	-0.455**

Bold figure indicates direct effects

Residual effect = 0.36417

r_g - Genotypic correlation with yield

X₁ - Days to first male flower anthesis
 X₄ - Node bearing first female flower
 X₇ - Fruit girth (cm)
 X₁₀ - Flesh thickness (mm)
 X₁₃ - Days to final harvest

X₂ - Days to first female flower anthesis
 X₅ - Days to first fruit harvest
 X₈ - Number of fruits vine⁻¹
 X₁₁ - Number of primary branches vine⁻¹ at final harvest

X₃ - Node bearing first male flower
 X₆ - Fruit length (cm)
 X₉ - Weight of individual fruit (g)
 X₁₂ -Vine length at final harvest (m)

The direct effect exerted on yield by days to first male flower anthesis (-1.3135), fruit girth (-1.5097), days to final harvest (-2.5227), number of fruits vine⁻¹ (-3.8875), weight of individual fruit (-4.3676), fruit length (-4.4258), days to first female flower anthesis (-6.2070) and node bearing first male flower (-8.1273) were found negative. Such reports had been given earlier by Islam *et al.* (2009) for fruit girth, fruit length and days to first female flower anthesis and by Kumari *et al.* (2018) for number of fruits vine⁻¹ and days to final harvest and by Tiwari *et al.* (2021) for days to first male flower anthesis, weight of individual fruit and node bearing first male flower.

The highest positive indirect effect on yield through vine length at final harvest was exerted by node bearing first male flower (5.4289) and similar findings have been reported by Sowmya *et al.* (2019), followed by days to first fruit harvest through days to first male flower anthesis (4.2812) as well as through days to first female flower anthesis (3.9970) which was in conformity with the earlier findings of Tiwari *et al.* (2021).

The highest negative indirect effect on yield through node bearing first male flower was exerted by days to final harvest (-6.5416), followed by days to first female flower anthesis through days to first male flower anthesis (-5.4520) and days to first female flower anthesis through days to first fruit harvest (-4.7323) and all these were similar to the findings of Tiwari *et al.* (2021).

Conclusion

Path analysis revealed the highest positive direct effect of vine length at final harvest, days to first fruit harvest and node bearing first female flower on fruit yield vine⁻¹, while the highest negative direct effect was observed for node bearing first male flower, days to first female flower anthesis and fruit length. These traits should be well considered which exercising selection for higher yield in bitter gourd.

Reference

Dewey, D.R. and K.H. Lu. 1959. A correlation and path analysis of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agron. J.*, 51: 515-518.

- Gupta, N., M.L. Bhardwaj, S.P. Singh and S. Sood. 2015. Correlation and path analysis of yield and yield components in some genetic stocks of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *Sabrao J. of Breeding and Genetics*, 47(4): 475-481.
- Heiser, C.B. (1979). *The Hourd Book*. University of Oklahoma Press, Norma.
- Islam, M.R., M.S. Hossain, M.S.R. Bhuiyan, A. Husna and M.A. Syed. 2009. Genetic variability and path-Coefficient analysis of bitter Gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *Intl. J. Sustain. Agric.*, 1(3): 53-57.
- Jatav, V., D.K. Singh and A. Panchbhaiya. 2016. Character association and path co-efficient analysis for yield and yield related traits in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *The bioscan*, 11(4): 2975-2980.
- Kumari, M., J. Kumar, A. Kumari, V.K. Singh, N. Rani and A. Kumar. 2018. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield attributing traits in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *CJAST*, 31(4): 1-8.
- National Horticultural Board Statistics. 2018-19. Department of Agriculture Co-operation and Farmers Welfare. 3rd Advance estimate. Ministry of Agri., Govt. of India.
- Rani, K.R., C.S. Raju and K.R. Reddy. 2015. Variability, correlation and path analysis studies in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *Agric. Sci. Digest.*, 35(2): 106-110.
- Tiwari, C., A.S. Bagri, A. Pandey, B. Ganjeer, A. Agnihotri, S.S. Singh and P. Bhasker. 2021. Correlation and path co-efficient in some cultivars of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 10(3): 1585-1591.
- Sowmya, H.M., S.S. Kolakar, D. Lakshmana, S. Nadukeri, V. Srinivasa and S.A. Jakkeral. 2019. Character association and path coefficient analysis in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) genotypes. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 8(5): 2193-2197.