

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_98526
Title of the Manuscript:	A SCALE TO MEASURE THE ATTITUDE OF COTTON GROWERS TOWARDS WEATHER-BASED AGRO-ADVISORY SERVICES IN TELANGANA AND ANDHRA PRADESH STATES.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

The general guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only based on '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the Reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not, please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have a suggestion for additional concerns, please mention it in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, manuscripts are significant to the scientific community The title of the article is good (15 words) The abstract is good (155 words) and keywords are good (6 Words), suggested minus one word (keywords maximum of five most important words)</p> <p>The structure of the article must consist of Introduction, Methods, Results, discussion, and conclusion. Introduction and method are good, but recommended that the results of data analysis be given a title as the result of research, and added a discussion on each subject and given conclusions and recommendations</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct Minimum references are 15, and maximum references are 20 years. It is recommended that 2-3 references be added to each discussion topic, and it is suggested to be adjusted. Sufficient and up-to-date references and references must use Mendeley. It is suggested to add references, as an example: Okolie, C. C., Danso-Abbeam, G., Groupson-Paul, O., & Ogundeji, A. A. (2023). Climate-Smart Agriculture Amidst Climate Change to Enhance Agricultural Production: A Bibliometric Analysis. <i>Land</i>, 12(1), 50.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the article's English is suitable for scientific communication, but it is recommended to use grammarly.com</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper is Accepted but needs minor Revision</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endang Noerhartati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, Indonesia