

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_98449
Title of the Manuscript:	Cosmic Baby and the detection of New Compact Star- the “ Triaxial Star” : A Possibility
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaliaarj.com/index.php/IAARJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes In the present work authors suggested the Gravitational Wave Community to observe the triaxial baby magnetar during the observation of compact objects through electromagnetic counterparts.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract section is not well written. It should be more concise (in short).</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the present work authors suggested the Gravitational Wave Community to observe the triaxial baby magnetar during the observation of compact objects through electromagnetic counterparts. The abstract section is not well written. It should be more concise (in short). In introduction Section, author should make it clear what author wants to contribute new in addition to the earlier cited works by the previous authors. It will be better to introduce at the end of introduction section, the plans for various sections. It is observed that the page number of the manuscript appeared at the mid of the page at various places, the page numbers must be at the end of the particular page. The author should cite the work (Do triaxial supramassive compact stars exist? arXiv:1606.04604 [astro-ph.HE], if they wish. In General the technical aspects of the paper are correct, but the presentation style is not well enough to be recommended for publication at this stage. The manuscript needs minor revision. I suggest the author to submit the revised version of the manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anuj Kumar Dubey
Department, University & Country	Allenhouse Institute of Technology, India