

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_98394
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical characterization and systemic exposure, evaluation of the aqueous extracts of Ficus thiningii Blume (Moraceae) on albino Wistar rats.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Bioactive compounds from medicinal plants are useful in pharmaceutical products and medicinal plants could be effective in treating some diseases.2. Yes3. The abstract should effectively summarize the manuscript.4. Wording of the manuscript needs further polishing.5. Yes6. Reference should be arranged according to journal guideline.7. Table 2 need to be improved.8. Some part repeated (introduction and discussion).9. Need to revise manuscript thoroughly.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language including grammar, spelling mistakes and style should be corrected.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaikh Ayesha Parveen
Department, University & Country	Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, India