

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_98544
Title of the Manuscript:	Structural and Rheological Properties of Exopolysaccharides Produced by Some Lactic Acid Bacterial Species Isolated from Oil and Raphia Palm Wine
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, The document as a whole is novel, the results are well presented, the results and conclusions gel together along with the document into a smooth, interesting narrative. The references and the introductory section are interesting and capture the landscape of this research. On the whole I would recommend acceptance of the article. Yes	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?		
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?		
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amr El-Waseif
Department, University & Country	Al-Azhar University, Egypt