

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_96901
Title of the Manuscript:	Attraction of umbelliferone in snail attractant pellets (SAP) against the snail Lymnaea acuminata: An intermediate host of Fasciola gigantica
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajriz.com/index.php/AJRIZ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>In this message, I sent a file of the manuscript containing the modifications in red. Here are also some important notes in reviewing the manuscript:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- At the end of the abstract, it was necessary to clarify the most month of the year in which snails are attracted and the least month in which there is also an attraction. - It has been modified. et al. All search sentences must be italicized.</li> <li>2- In the introduction, in the fifth line, the scientific name of the snail is written in full, because it is the first time it is mentioned in the introduction.</li> <li>3- In the materials and methods under the heading Collection of snails, the place from which the snails were collected is clarified. Where is the lake located? - It is preferable to exchange the two titles, meaning that the first title is Tested materials and the second is Collection of snails.</li> <li>3- Where is the title of the results? The title must be written before listing them or before the Figure. - The title "Effect of seasonal .....etc" has been modified as shown in the red manuscript. - In the second paragraph, in the first line that starts with Data given in the Figure, the number of the Figure that it refers to is mentioned, i.e. 1.Fig</li> <li>4- With regard to the results, I find that they were not displayed in a way that suits the idea of the manuscript, as a single figure is not sufficient to display the results of such a search. There must be tables with clear numbers that show the reader the results in a larger way. Conversely, what are the months in which attraction is less?? This must be explained clearly because the results deserve to be explained more than this.</li> <li>5- In discussing the results, the scientist MacInnis et al., 1974, I think it is a very old date to be included in a research to be published in 2023. I recommend that it be replaced by another recent reference or deleted.</li> <li>6- Some corrections have been made in the references as shown in red in the manuscript.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hend Shokry</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Plant Protection Research Institute, Egypt</b>