

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_97723
Title of the Manuscript:	Oxidative Stress markers and Toxic metals assessment in Albino Wistar rat fed with Vigna unguiculata expose to biopesticides (Bacillus thuringiensis, Neem Azadirachta) and agrochemical
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- The manuscript is important to the scientific community, as it contains valuable information. 2- The title of the manuscript is somewhat appropriate. 3- The summary of the article is comprehensive, but the attached comments need to be corrected, and must be reviewed again with the original references. 4- The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, but a simple introduction to the study should be added in the abstract before the aim of the study. 5- The manuscript is scientifically correct, but there are some spelling errors that must be corrected. 6- The references are sufficient about 62 a references, but they are not recent, as there is a single reference dated 2021, and it would have been better to add more recent references, at least until 2022, especially in the discussion. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The original references must be reviewed and their numbers placed in the appropriate place, especially in item 2.6 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	The reaction mechanism that leads to the appearance of the causes resulting from the rise of heavy metals must be written in the discussion	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ibrahim Ali Emara
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Diabetes and Endocrinology, Egypt