

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98774
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS AFFECTING SPOKEN COMPETENCY IN ENGLISH OF RURAL AND URBAN STUDENTS IN BHUTANESE MIDDLE SECONDARY SCHOOLS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	Research manuscript

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The background of a study should involve a review of the existing literature on the research area leading to research topic. The introduction should begin with a general overview of the research topic Background information identifies and describes the history and nature of a well-defined research problem with reference to the existing literature.</p> <p>No. Comparative assessment of the speaking proficiency of rural and urban students in English</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. Needs major corrections</p> <p>Yes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The topic should be self-explanatory. ➤ Background information identifies and describes the history and nature of a well-defined research problem with reference to the existing literature. ➤ The manuscript should follow the basic conceptual framework that the research does ➤ The abstract should revise the research questions ➤ Data collection procedures should follow the order of the researchers' basic research questions ➤ It is best to explain the background in a V-shape so that the subject is narrowed down to a specific one 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Needs revision	
Optional/General comments	In general, given the suggestions above, it would be good if this manuscript corrected and submitted for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Miressa Amenu Terfa
Department, University & Country	Dambi Dollo University, Ethiopia