

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_98571
Title of the Manuscript:	The Nexus between Liquidity and Credit Risks and Their Impact on Bank Stability
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<p>As the manuscript involved the data till 2021 thus it is not scientifically important. Thus there is a need to add the relevant data belongs to the current scenario.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive but it is also required to mention about the methodology, practical implications and value of the research to the society.</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>It requires adding the data from 2022 till March, 2023 which correct the manuscript scientifically. In reference, author includes the research paper of 2022 but in the manuscript data of 2022 can't be included.</p> <p>References of 2022 and 2023 must required to be added.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Language is suitable	
Optional/General comments	Need to do the above mentioned corrections	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mayank Malviya
Department, University & Country	Shambhunath Institute of Engineering and Technology, India

Review Form 1.7