

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_98571
Title of the Manuscript:	The Nexus between Liquidity and Credit Risks and Their Impact on Bank Stability
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. YES. Its contribution to literature makes it quite ok 2. Yes, the Title befits the content. 3. Yes, the abstract captures the salient issues. 4. Yes, except that the paper does not have a standing theory to support the issues surrounding liquidity and credit risks. 5. It is ok since it is not a physical science. 6. DOI/Url of referenced articles should be included where available. The article needs to be reduced to an average of 18-20pages. The background is too extensive, and without identifying any theory that liquidity and or credit risks are linked to. There should be a sub-topic on theoretical framework and how an identified theory plays roles in bank resource management crisis. The methods and the results/discussion aspects are well laid out.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The need be to do few grammar checks.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The conclusion appears too much. A page or less is ok.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juabin Matey
Department, University & Country	Bolgatanga Technical University, Ghana