

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_99172
Title of the Manuscript:	Green synthesis of Silver and Zinc Oxide nanoparticles using post-harvest leaves of Vigna subterranean and their antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory and antioxidant potentials.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Abstract. Add one or two sentences about challenges of the study and later discuss about the contributions made. 2. Introduction: Compare the findings of the present research study with the recent work of the same field towards claiming the contribution made. 3. Some more information should be added about the plant phytochemicals medicinal importance 4. Result and discussion. Support your results with suitable and updated references in discussion. 5. Add the future scope of the study. 6. References should follow the according to journal format	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Concentrate on improvement in terms of grammar, spellings, and presentations. The paper needs careful English polishing since there are some typos and written sentences.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	D. Veera Nagendra Kumar
Department, University & Country	Government College for Men, India