

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_98714
Title of the Manuscript:	Facial photogrammetry during phases of female sexual cycle and estimation of facial attractiveness as biomarker of ovulation
Type of the Article	Original Research

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. The manuscript provide significant research within the topic. 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Looks ok but need evidence in terms of photos and graphs 6. Yes a) The manuscript lacks sufficient evidence in the form of figures and graphs. b) Just mere table of data will look like a made-up data. c) Need to provide substantial proof in the form of figures and graphs. d) Need to provide more content regarding procedures of Photogrammetry procedures in view of relevant research within this domain. e) Relevant Mathematical equations can be included. f) There are no details about the computer based software program (SPSS). An algorithm or flow chart may be provided to show the Photogrammetry procedure. g) A comparison need to be provided with the most recent research within this research area.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd. Abdul Muqet
Department, University & Country	Muffakham Jah College of Engineering and Technology, India