

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_ACRI_98714  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Facial photogrammetry during phases of female sexual cycle and estimation of facial attractiveness as biomarker of ovulation |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments<br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | 1. Yes , it's a novel way to asses the ovulation changes in females. It's an evolving science.<br>2. Title is fine . could be read better as <b>"Estimation of facial attractiveness as biomarker of ovulation using facial photogrammetry during phases of female sexual cycle"</b><br>3. Yes<br>4. Yes<br>5. Yes<br>6. Yes |   |
| <b>Minor REVISION</b> comments<br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | Material & Methods : line 4 – ' <b>Subjected</b> ' word to be corrected as ' <b>Subjects</b> '<br>Results : Formats of the tables -1,2,3 were not properly visible . table cells were not visible( data is fine) in word file. <b>Just check the technical aspects of those tables.</b>                                      |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |  |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | Arun Pralhad Chepte                          |
| Department, University & Country | Pravara Institute of Medical Sciences, India |