

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_98731
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIOXIDANT PROPERTY OF METHANOL CRUDE EXTRACT OF UNRIPE PULP OF <i>Carica papaya</i> ON ALLOXAN-INDUCED DIABETIC MALE ALBINO RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- The manuscripts can be important for the scientific community as they often contain good research findings 2- The title of the article not suitable, so please change it to: Change the title to: evaluation of the antihyperglycemic and antioxidant effects of methanol crude extract of unripe pulp of <i>carica papaya</i> on diabetic male albino rats induced by alloxan 3- The abstract of the article is comprehensive 4- 4- the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate if the author depends on the comments in the copy of the manuscript. 5- need some modification 6- Please check the references in paragraphs, especially in the introduction and material and methods	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	the English quality of the article non-coherent	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rafah Razooq Hameed Al-Samarrai
Department, University & Country	University of Samarra, Iraq