Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_SAJSSE_98948**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Financial Distress in the China hospitality industry during the Covid-19 Disaster**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. **Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** 4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** 5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** 6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**The theme chosen is of significant relevance but the content of the study lacks clarity and thus the objectives chosen lacks subjectivity and reliability.** **Yes the article title is apt.** **The outcome of the study should be given more emphasis by carrying out some robust test.** **No the organisation of the study needs restructuring.** **Research methodology chosen and applied is not clear. The study is not able to demonstrate the proper sequence of the various methodology applied.** **References again needs to reworked as there are only 10 references being mentioned and that too not in proper format.**	
Minor REVISION comments 1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Author should get in touch with professionals for proper drafting of the article.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Shruti Singh**
Department, University & Country	**Institute of Management Studies, Banaras Hindu University, India**