Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_SAJSSE_98948**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Financial Distress in the China hospitality industry during the Covid-19 Disaster**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	1. The economy related to financial distress in the China hospitality industry during the COVID-19 disaster come inequality is an actual theme of research, which is significant for future insights and potential solutions. 2. The title of this manuscript is adequate. 3. The abstract is comprehensive. 4. The structure of the manuscript is good. 5. The manuscript represents scientific insight into financial distress due to COVID-19 pandemic supported by various arguments and evidence. 6. References are reasonable. 7. This article is engaging and straightforward, but some corrections are needed.	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	There are many redundancies, missing determiners, and grammatical errors. English writing needs additional work. Some of the words repeatedly appear in this manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Some of your sentences may need to be clarified and easier to follow, so I rewrote them for clarity. I also rewrote some parts of your manuscript, so consider implementing it.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Kristijan Krstic**
Department, University & Country	**University of Kragujevac, Serbia**