

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_99053
Title of the Manuscript:	HIGH PERFORMANCE LIPID CHROMATOGRAPHIC PATTERN OF CHILDREN WITH SICKLE CELL ANAEMIA IN ENUGU NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community. 2. The title can be accepted the way it is. 3. Abstract is too lengthy, especially the abstract introduction, which should be very brief, atmost two short sentences. The conclusion must be re-written. The author should see comment box on original manuscript. 4. The author should include a section for conclusion 5. Scientifically acceptable. 6. References are adequate.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The AUTHOR should see the comment box in the original manuscript and implement all suggested corrections.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Serekara Gideon Christian
Department, University & Country	Rivers State University, Nigeria