

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_98885
Title of the Manuscript:	Ectopic Third Molar in Maxillary Sinus: A Rare Case Report.
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijrrd.com/index.php/IJRRD/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. This case is very rare, good working and description of the case. The treatment of this case of ectopic teeth in the maxillary sinus is surgical extraction which is the most favorable. 2. yes 3. yes 4. yes 5. yes 6. need more recent references	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language must be check for the whole article	
Optional/General comments	Follow journal guidelines	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> YES BECAUSE As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the authors.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ibrahim H. Alfahdawi
Department, University & Country	Almaarif University College, Iraq