

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99145
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Zinc And Gibberellic Acid on Growth and Yield of Maize (Zea mays L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript is important for scientific community. In general, this article provides information about Influence of Zinc And Gibberellic Acid on Growth and Yield of Maize (Zea mays L.). 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. There are no research objectives and conclusions 4. Subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references are not sufficient and recent. The conclusion is shallow.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of language/English articles is suitable for scientific communication	
Optional/General comments	There is no explanation of how to apply Gibberellic Acid.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ary Susatyo Nugroho
Department, University & Country	PGRI Semarang University, Indonesia