

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_96632</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of organic manure on sorghum-based intercropping system on yield attributes, yield, and economics in rainfed conditions.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the abstract section has not been completed with the formulation of the problem which is the idea of the research</li> <li>Many of the data and statements contained in the introduction are without literary sources</li> <li>The method section is not equipped with the composition or characteristics of the fertilizer used</li> <li>the results and discussion only describe the table, have not carried out an analysis of the results obtained</li> <li>the references used are very old, you should use references from the last 5-10 years</li> <li>Haven't used the Medeley or Zatero applications in compiling references</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The extension or meaning of FYM should be explained from the beginning	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Rewrite the discussion section by providing an analysis of each data obtained. Then update the reference used. Use the latest references, about the last 5-10 years	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>I Nengah Muliarta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Warmadewa University, Indonesia</b>