

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99169
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the soil quality of Forest, Broom grass and cultivated land uses in Hilly Agro-Ecosystem, Meghalaya Plateau, North East India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community 2. The title is suitable 3. The abstract is comprehensive, but revision is required on language. 4. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate, but table should be indicated wherever applicable particularly in discussion. 5. Scientifically, the manuscript can be regarded as correct but detail description is required in methodology for measuring soil physico-chemical and biological parameters. 6. The references is sufficient but more recent publication should be cited. More importantly the reference should be written in accordance to the author guideline of this journal.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English is very difficult to read, simple sentence is easier to understand rather than a long complex sentence.	
Optional/General comments		

Comment [IGKA1]: a detail procedure for measuring physico-chemical and and biological parameter is required

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	I Gede Ketut Adiputra
Department, University & Country	University of Hindu Indonesia, Indonesia