Original Research Article

Evaluating the soil quality of Forest, Broom grass and cultivated land uses in Hilly Agro-Ecosystem, Meghalaya Plateau, North East India

Abstract

Soil quality can be inferred from selected chemical soil indicators and it may be altered under the impact of changes in land uses (LUS). In order to achieve the sustainable management practices in order to the soil quality indicators (SQI) should be measured and assessed. The objective of this study was to compare the soil quality index in forest, Broom and cultivated land use systems in some areas of Meghalaya, as a completely randomized design at nine different land uses containing Mixed-Forest, Pine-Forest, Broom-Grass, Rice-Potato, Rice-Cabbage, Upland Rice-Monocrop, Lowland Rice-Monocrop, upland pineapple crop and slash-burn cropping system with three replications and two depths. 54 soil samples were collected from the surface and subsurface soil depth of diverse LUS and 9 soil chemical attributes was selected for SQI. Values of SQI deduced using the average factorial deviation from the values of soil quality indicators of diverse LUS site relative to their value of mixed forest as a (reference) scaled to 100 per cent. The results showed that the pine forest land use had the premier value of SQI (98.99) and poorest in rice-potato (70.00) land use system in both the depth compared to mixed forest land use conferring to our findings of this investigation it can be concluded that cultivated land uses decrease the soil quality.

Keywords: Soil quality, land uses, sustainable management

1. INTRODUCTION

Soil, a medium for plant growth, is a natural resource and mantle of the earth surface. The world population is expected to reach 0.80 X 10^3 million by 2030, 0.98 X 10^3 million by 2050 and 1.12 X 10^3 million by 2100 (UN, 2017). Therefore, meeting the food demands of the current population without significantly disturbing the soil-water-atmosphere equilibrium has become the most challenge for researchers and policymakers. Degradation natural resource such as soil erosion is a natural sensation that poses severe environmental, socio-economic issues (Wang *et al*., 2012). Soil health and function of hilly agroecosystem are closely linked to the quality and long-term utility of soil. Therefore, a better thoughtful of the effects of forest and agricultural LUS on soil quality of Meghalaya plateau can benefit viable options for sustainable development of hill ecosystem. Advancement has been made on the impacts of land uses on soil properties. Conversion of natural forest (mixed forest) to cultivated land use types degrade the fertility status of soil i.e. physical fertility, biological fertility and chemical fertility, soil erosion, water quality (Tellen and Yerima, 2018; Dengiz, 2019; Hinge *et al*.

2019). Mishra *et al*. (2017) evaluated the impact of shifting cultivation on soil quality, in Wokha district of Nagaland, using weighted soil quality index (SQI). The results showed that the high SQI more than 0.70 for two forest soils (FS1 and FS2) and land under shifting/jhum cultivation low quality (<0.5). Hinge *et al*. (2019) reported SQI in different land uses in Meghalaya. The results showed that the overall SQI was found to follow the following order: dense forest>shifting cultivation>pine forest>bun cultivation>abandoned land after shifting cultivation.

In Meghalaya, the mean annual loss of surface soil, organic carbon (OC), P and K due to the extent of shifting cultivation/ jhum cultivation up to the extent of 40.9 X 10^3 kg, 7.03 X 10^2 kg, 0.15 kg and 7.5 kg per ha, respectively (ICAR, 1983).

Soil quality indices/index was decision support tools that effectively integrate a variety of information for multi-objective decision making (Karlen and Stott, 1994). A number of soil quality and fertility indices (pH, EC, nutrients, structure, porosity etc.) have been proposed (Andrews *et al*., 2002) none identifies state of soil degradation that affects its functionality. The SQI frequently integrates some soil indicators which are accompanying with soil functions into a dimensionless value (between 0 and 100) to quantitatively assess the soil quality (Hazarika *et al*. 2014; Parra-Gonzalez and Rodriguez-Valenzuela, 2017; Ampi, 2021; Das, 2021; Liu *et al*. 2022). This method is normally proceeded in different steps: selecting soil indicators, reference land use as 100 (undisturbed), log 100 transform, factorial deviation and integrating the soil indicators into an index (Abdel-Fateh *et al*. 2020; Ma *et al*. 2020; Ampi, 2021). Davari *et al*. (2020) observed that forest clearance and subsequent cultivation practice, due to land degradation, has a significant negative impact on SQI, i.e. drop of 44.5% of SQI was occurred. Mukherjee and Lal (2014) evaluated SQI at Ohio State, they resulted SQI varied between treatments and soil types and was ranging from 0 to 0.9 (1 being the maximum SQI). Generally SQIs did not significantly differ at depths under any method advising that soil quality did not expressively differ for different surface and subsurface depth. Singh *et al*. 2013 evaluated SQI in Nagaland, they were found the SQI rating was the highest for the least-disturbed land use compare to disturbed/agricultural LUS, i.e., natural forest>grassland>Shifting cultivation>horticultural based system>cultivated land. Prokop *et al*. (2018) evaluate soil quality in Upper Shillong, Meghalaya they showed that the higher soil quality in in pine forest, followed by cultivated land and deciduous forest.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 STUDY AREA AND SOIL

The study area represents the North-Eastern Himalayan region of India, lies from 21.57° N to 29.26° N latitude and 87.50° E to 97.30° E longitude with a geographical area of 26.20 million ha in the fragile Eastern Himalayan landscape. The study was carried out East–Khasi Hills district of Meghalaya, which lies between 90055"15-91016" latitude and 25040"-25021" longitude, the total area of East Khasi Hills (2,752 sq. km). These area was selected Upper Shillong**.** The annual average rainfall is exceeds 2935 mm with wide orography led spatial variability (15,00–11,500 mm) and temperature varies from 100 C in December to 30^0C in July and August, East Khasi hill district experiences different types of climate varies from tropical climate in bordering areas Assam to the temperate climate in the East Khasi Hills district. The bordering areas of Assam found hot-humid climate during summer seasons with an average temperature 300 C, during month of May to July of the year. The soils of study area is Silty-Loam, the soils developed from shale and sandstone are red and lateritic with very shallow (in steep slopes) to medium in depth and relatively fine in texture. Soils are invariably acidic in reaction, with half of them (53% of GA) are very strong to strong in reaction (pH: 4.5–5.5). Complex interaction of geographic location, high rainfall, and conducive temperature favours luxurious plant biomass production which in turn adds higher organic carbon (98% GA with > 1% SOC) in the soils of the region.

2.2 SELECTION OF LAND USE SYSTEMS (LUS)

Nine land uses (LUS) types were selected based on the following three steps. In the first step, details about past and current LUS were obtained and described. Sites for soil sampling were then identified for each LUS. In the final step, soil samples from the identified areas were collected, and analysed in the laboratory for various soil indicators.

In the first step, a field reconnaissance soil survey along with an inquiry/interview and discussions with local farmers well acquainted with the land use and local farming systems were conducted. Based on the obtained information, nine predominant LUS in the study area were chosen and are described. Terrain characteristics and vegetation types from each LUS were also recorded during sampling. The nine LUS selected for soil chemical properties (1) Jhum-System (2) Mixed-Forest (3) Pine-Forest (4) Rice-Potato (5) Rice-Cole Crops (6) Upland Rice-Monocrop (7) Lowland Rice-Monocrop (8) Upland Pineapple-System and (9) Upland Broom-System.

Table 1: Methods of soil physico-chemical and biological parameters

SOIL CHEMICAL PARAMETERS			
1.	Soil pH and EC	Soil: water suspension (1:2.5) for pH and 1:5 for EC	Page *et al.* (1982)
2.	Available Nitrogen	Alkaline potassium permanganate method	Subbiah and Asija (1956)
3.	Available Phosphorus	Bray's-1 method	Bray and Kurtz (1945)
4.	Available Potassium	Neutral Normal Ammonium acetate method	Hanway and Heidel (1952)
5.	DTPA extractable Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu	DTPA extractable followed by AAS	Lindsay and Norvell (1978)

2.3 SOIL QUALITY INDEX EVALUATION

$$SQI = 10_{log}\, m - \sum_{i}^{N} 1 \frac{I\ logm - logni\ I}{N}$$

Where , m is the reference indexed values (each values set to 100%) from adjacent mixed forest soil, n is the measured values as a percentage of the reference and N is the total no. of parameters (Wanshnong *et al*., 2013).

2.4 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All statistical analyses were performed MS-Excel. The statistical significance difference between the groups will be studied by performing one way anova.

3. RESULTS

Table No 2: **Soil chemical properties (macro and micronutrients) in (0-15 cm) depth of diverse land uses in Shillong**

LUS	pH	EC µS/m	Avl. N kg/ha	Avl. P_2O_5 kg/ha	Avl. K_2O kg/ha	DTPA Fe ppm	DTPA Mn ppm	DTPA Cu ppm	DTPA Zn ppm
JS	5.27	26.60	391.48	15.70	270.50	52.72	16.53	0.80	2.19
MF	5.26	24.23	550.00	17.29	315.98	55.50	17.56	2.17	3.81
PF	4.96	18.53	416.30	8.24	281.11	92.61	26.43	2.79	2.73
RP	5.29	25.22	261.66	16.45	160.61	51.46	15.98	1.20	0.11

RCC	5.39	20.09	263.94	20.23	181.31	46.19	12.08	0.91	0.32
URM	5.34	24.15	285.85	18.07	238.63	41.19	14.36	1.67	0.51
LRM	5.18	21.06	269.64	12.39	200.33	63.30	20.72	2.47	0.08
UPS	5.26	25.36	324.20	15.20	245.67	56.37	18.24	3.37	1.19
UBS	5.09	27.06	244.39	10.43	215.61	77.14	22.17	4.27	1.81
S.E (m)±	0.04	0.06	1.27	0.78	0.58	0.40	0.01	0.28	0.03
LSD	0.11	0.18	3.78	2.31	1.73	1.18	0.04	0.82	0.10
CV	2.17	0.76	1.14	15.64	0.74	2.01	0.24	37.90	7.33

(LUS= Land Uses, JS= Jhum System, MF= Mixed-Forest, PF= Pine-Forest, RP= Rice-Potato System, RCC= Rice-Cole Crop, URM= Upland Rice-Monocrop, LRM= Lowland Rice-Monocrop, UPS= Upland Pineapple System, UBS= Upland Broom System, ±= Standard Error, LSD= Least Significance difference, SEM= Standard Error of Mean)

Soil chemical properties (macro and micronutrients) in 0-15 cm depth of diverse LUS in Shillong are demonstrated in Table No 2. Values of soil pH was ranging from 4.96-5.34 and the highest value recorded in RCC, whereas lowest in PF. The values of EC (µS/m) ranged from 18.53 to 27.06 and maximum value observed in UBS and minimum in PF. The mean value of soil Avl. N was ranging from 244.39 to 550.00 (kg/ha), whereas highest value was observed in MF and lowest in UBS. The values of Avl. P_2O_5 (kg/ha) content ranged from 8.24 to 20.24 while maximum value was recorded in RCC and minimum in PF. Values of Avl. K_2O (kg/ha) content was ranging from 160.61 to 315.98, whereas highest value was observed in MF and lowest in RP. The DTPA Fe (ppm) content ranged from 41.19 to 92.61, however highest value observed in PF and lowest in URM. The value of DTPA Mn (ppm) ranged from 12.08 to 26.43, while highest value was found in PF and lowest in RCC. The range of DTPA Cu (ppm) varied from 0.80 to 4.27. The DTPA Cu was highest in UBS and lowest in JS. Values of DTPA Zn (ppm) ranged from 0.08 to 3.81. The highest value of DTPA Zn was found in MF and lowest in LRM.

Table No 3: Soil chemical properties (macro and micronutrients) in (15-30 cm) depth of diverse land uses in Shillong

LUS	pH	EC µS/m	Avl. N kg/ha	Avl. P_2O_5 kg/ha	Avl. K_2O kg/ha	DTPA Fe ppm	DTPA Mn ppm	DTPA Cu ppm	DTPA Zn ppm
JS	5.49	26.38	271.93	13.77	245.45	51.19	15.52	0.74	2.10
MF	5.10	24.09	454.44	16.31	270.47	54.56	17.53	2.18	3.67

PF	4.98	18.36	326.76	6.71	220.58	92.02	27.51	2.75	2.61
RP	5.05	25.12	173.21	14.66	158.83	49.23	13.52	1.05	0.09
RCC	5.18	19.84	182.09	19.95	175.39	44.16	12.23	0.82	0.29
URM	5.13	24.08	209.49	17.87	212.48	40.30	13.88	1.61	0.49
LRM	5.05	20.86	191.71	11.98	178.51	60.03	19.16	2.44	0.06
UPS	5.09	24.87	233.02	15.09	215.56	52.78	18.07	3.43	1.14
UBS	5.02	26.98	164.99	10.19	195.74	75.65	21.10	4.14	1.71
S.E(m)±	0.04	0.10	1.38	0.49	0.49	0.35	0.19	0.38	0.23
LSD	0.11	0.30	4.11	1.46	1.44	1.05	0.58	1.13	0.68
CV	2.10	1.30	1.69	10.48	0.70	1.83	3.32	53.72	51.04

Soil chemical properties (macro and micronutrients) in 15-30 cm depth of diverse LUS in Shillong are showed in Table No 3. Values of soil pH was ranging from 4.98 to 5.49 and the highest value recorded in JS, whereas lowest in PF. The values of EC (µS/m) ranged from 18.36 to 26.98 and maximum value observed in UBS and minimum in PF. The mean value soil Avl. N was ranging from 164.99 to 454.45 (kg/ha), whereas highest value was observed in MF and lowest in UBS. Values of Avl. P_2O_5 (kg/ha) content ranged from 6.71 to 19.95, while maximum value was recorded in RCC and minimum in PF. Values of Avl. K_2O (kg/ha) content was ranging from 158.83 to 270.47, whereas highest value was observed in MF and lowest in RP. The DTPA Fe (ppm) content ranged from 40.30 to 92.02, however highest value observed in SPF and lowest in URM. The value of DTPA Mn (ppm) ranged from 12.23 to 27.51, while highest value was found in PF and lowest in RCC. The range of DTPA Cu (ppm) varied from 0.74 to 4.14. The DTPA Cu was highest in JS and lowest in UBS. Values of DTPA Zn (ppm) ranged from 0.06 to 3.67. The highest value of DTPA Zn was found in MF and lowest in LRM.

Development of Soil Quality Index using physicochemical and biological attributes of Various LUS in Shillong. Values of SQI deduced using the mean factorial deviation from the values of soil quality indicators of diverse land use site relative to their value of MF (mixed forest) land use as a (reference land use) scaled to 100 per cent. Soil quality index (SQI) of diverse LUS in surface and subsurface soil in Shillong region of East Khashi hills of Meghalaya demonstrated in Table No 4. The SQI value at 0-15 cm soil depth was found to be highest in PF (94.68) and lowest in rice-cole crop (71.87) followed by rice-potato system (75.21) of Upper Shillong region followed pattern as: in surface soil (0-15 cm) PF>UPS>URM>UBS>JS>LRM>RP>RCC and subsurface soil (15-30 cm) very good SQI was observed in also PF (92.74) and poorest in rice-cole crop (68.36) PF>UPS>URM>UBS>JS>LRM>RP>RCC.

Table No 4: Soil quality index of various land uses in 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth Shillong

LUS	SQI (0-15 cm)	SQI (15-30 cm)
JS	82.87	82.86
PF	94.68	92.74
RP	75.21	71.64
RCC	71.87	68.36
URM	85.18	84.06
LRM	78.74	75.03
UPS	88.86	85.79
UBS	85.12	83.38
MF	100.00	100.00

4. DISCUSSIONS

Conversion of land use from natural forest vegetation to cultivated land could not only affects soil physico-chemical and biological properties but also change management system (Hazarika *et al*., 2014). In Meghalaya soils converted in shifting cultivation and cultivated Agricultural LUS (Deb *et al*., 2013). Several researchers reported that the change of LUS such as shifting cultivation, implemented locally can cause significant variations in soil aggregates and aggregate stability, terrestrial cycles, reduction of output, soil loss and degradation of soil (Mishra *et al*., 2017; Hinge *et al*., 2019). Under natural environment, soils sustain their quality and equilibrium over the pedogenic progressions (Carter, 2002). Though, due to anthropogenic activities i.e. drastic change in land-uses (LUS) and soil management practices as a way to meet the food demand of growing inhabitants have led to the deterioration of soil quality (Nabiollahi *et al*., 2018).

4.1 IMPACT OF DIVERSE LAND USES ON SOIL CHEMICAL ATTRIBUTES AND MACRONUTRIENTS

Among LUS, the proportion of soil pH was greatest in the RCC (5.39) at surface (0-15 cm) and 5.49 in subsurface soil JS land use, whenever lowest pH was recorded in the pine forest (4.96) at 0-15 cm soil depth. Interesting high soil pH obtained in RCC system was due to application of manures *i.e*. FYM, poultry manure, pig manure, vermicompost and addition of DPA. The higher pH value was recorded in JS due to liming effect of slashed OM and burning (Venkatesh *et al.,* 2001). The soil pH was decreased with increasing soil depth. Decline in soil pH was mainly could be due to build-up of exch. Al^{3+}, rectangle shaped canopy prominent the rain to big drops consequently augmenting the leaching of bases and by releasing organic acids make organo-metal complex in 15-30 cm soil depth, which is in agreement with the finding of several researchers Datta *et al*. (2015); Chanu (2018); Prokop *et al*. (2018); Hombegowda *et al*. (2021).

In this study maximum EC was recorded in UBS (27.06 μS/m) at surface and lowest in PF (18.53μS/m) at surface soil (0-15 cm). The lower value of EC was due to exch. Al^{3+}, and organic acids, whenever high EC was due to accumulations of soluble salts in UUBS. Similar results also was found by Wapongnungsang *et al*. (2020); Ampi (2021).

Avl. N is found to be present in the highest amount in MF (550.00 Kg/ha) at surface (0-15 cm) as related to the further land use studied, whenever UBS was observed lowest amount 244.39 Kg/ha. Our study also supported by finding of Hazarika *et al*. (2014) forest soil have more N than cultivated soil. The avl. N content was higher in the surface soil and it decreased with soil depth in diverse LUS. The litter availability in mixed forest resource availability on the forest floor that can be colonized, decomposed and mineralized by the soil microbes, and also retains moisture on the forest floor which may lead to decomposition SOM and nutrient mineralization in the soil (Maithani *et al*., 1998). Cycling of N is altered by anthropogenic activity (Sharma *et al*., 2012). Avl. N are most vulnerable to surface change, where physical alterations such as removal of live vegetation and forest floor litter, exacerbate erosion, runoff, and the leaching of soluble N (NO_3^-) not taken up by plant roots (McGrath and Zhang, 2003). The avl. P_2O_5 content was greatest found in RCC (20.23 Kg/ha) and least amount was recorded in PF 8.24 Kg/ha at surface. Low availability of P in PF attributed to soil pH, in Khasi pine the chemical composition of pine needle (modified leaves) and its sluggish decay rate Tripathi *et al*. (2009). The higher availability of P is could be due to regular application of FYM, poultry manure, recycling of crop biomass, the residual effect of DAP applied to RCC, and the release of plant nutrients on mineralization of organic manures that favoured the enhancement of a labile pool P in the soils and resulted increase in pH (Laxminarayana, 2010; Das *et al*., 2014). At high pH the availability of Al^{3+}, Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} less soluble, and SOM form chelate, whereas at low pH they were combine and make unavailable to plants (Neina, 2019). The available K_2O in the studied sites was varies from medium to high. The lowest K_2O content in 0-15 cm soil depth in RP and highest in MF LUS, the considerable low content of K_2O was due to Potato is high K feeder crop, whenever highest amount in BMF was due to absence of anthropogenic activity, increases higher amount of SOC and plant biodiversity (Majumdar *et al*., 2004; Vanlaldulati, 2011). Differential build-up of available N, P and K content in diverse land use systems in Meghalaya have also been reported by (Majumdar *et al*., 2004). The available N, P and K in different LUS decreased with increasing soil depth (Majumdar *et al*., 2004).

4.2 IMPACT OF DIVERSE LAND USES ON DTPA CATIONIC MICRONUTRIENTS IN SOIL

On conversion of evergreen forests (Mixed-Forest, Pine-Forest) to upland agriculture (settled-agriculture and jhum-system) and plantation crop, Cu, Mn, and Zn contents declined significantly. Lowland-Paddy and grassland (Broom-System) had comparable Fe, Mn, and Cu concentrations (except Zn). The DTPA extractable cationic micronutrients (ppm) *i.e.* Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn in all diverse land use systems in superficial soil depth were ranging from 20.62-111.95, 8.18-29.34, 0.51-4.27 and 0.07-3.08 ppm, respectively however increasing the depth status of micronutrients was decreases. Among micronutrients Zn was found in deficient to sufficient ranges in subsurface. Very low amount of

Zn in lowland rice system could be the result of solubility of minerals, continuous removal of this element by crop, without its replenishment through fertilizers except some probable addition through recycling of crop residues Majumdar *et al*., (2004). There was substantial Fe and Mn build-up in all different land uses in all study sites. The maximum content of Fe and Mn in Mixed-Forest and Pine forest suggesting better recycling of these plant micronutrients system through leaf litter and weed biomass decomposition. DTPA extractable Cu also increased marginally in all the land uses. The highest amount available Cu content was recorded in UBS system. All the cationic micronutrients showed decreasing order from surface to subsurface soil depth. Considering the critical limits of DTPA extractable micronutrients (ppm) like as Fe (4.50), Mn (2.0), Cu (0.20) and Zn (0.060) in acid soils, the soils of all diverse land uses were sufficient in available Fe, Cu and Mn and deficient in available Zn. Singh and Bordoli (2014) found similar results in Dimapur and Wokh distrct of Nagaland in different land uses. The available Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn content of different land use soils was well within the range as reported by Gupta *et al*., (2004); Sharma and Mahajan (1990); Singh and Bordoli (2014). Choudhury *et al*., (2021) also reported that DTPA extractable cationic micronutrients such as Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn content varied widely from 0.665 to 257.10, traces to 93.4, 17.1, and 34.20 ppm, respectively in diverse land uses in Meghalaya. The above study thus revealed the diverse land use systems are better alternative to the Rice-Potato, Rice-Cole cropping system in hill region of Meghalaya. All the land use systems maintained better fertility status of the soil as compared to Rice-Potato and Rice-Cole crop.

4.3 IMPACT OF LAND USES ON SOIL QUALITY INDEX

The development of soil quality index in the locality of study site of diverse land use systems in East-Khasi hills located in Meghalaya plateau under humid subtropical hilly ecological unit is very important since there are certain degradation signs indicating how their sustainability is being susceptible.

Understanding soil quality is very important to improving sustainable land use system and management practices (Abdel-Fattah *et al*., 2021), providing early warning signals of adverse conditions in soil quality change, identifying problematic areas of soil quality (Jiang *et al*., 2020) and providing a valuable basis for the subsequent rational use and improvement of soil. The term soil quality was used on different perspectives in both agricultural and environmental point of views (Mukherjee and Lal, 2014).To develop soil quality, there is a complexity of the subject involves due to diversity of physic-chemical and biological attributes and their integrative relationship (Ampi, 2021; Das, 2021).

To develop soil quality index (SQI), suitable assessment methods and reasonable SQI are great importance (Ditzler and Tugel, 2002). Undisturbed adjacent mixed forest site represent a balanced soil physic-chemical and biological quality from stable ecosystem which can be used as standard for soil quality assessment (Zornzoa *et al*., 2008; Hazarika *et al*., 2014). The objectives of using agricultural land in order to build SQI should be taken into consideration while choosing the criteria (Andrews and Carroll, 2001).

Depending on how much of the variability in soil quality is represented by each SQ indicator, it is difficult to explain how changing land uses and subsequent intense farming affects soil quality across different time scales. SQ governed by cumulative responses of soil fertility attributes to management induced factors. So, these variations in SQI amongst different places, land uses and depths are often analyzed by engaging principle component analysis where fluctuations in values of soil quality indicators are measured at a time.

SQI of surface soil (0-15 cm) were found higher compare to subsurface soil (15-30 cm) in site. In the surface and subsurface soil of study area greatest SQI was observed in Pine forest system (94.68-98.99) whenever lowest in Rice-Potato (67.46-70.60) and Rice-Cole crop (68.36-71.87). In the subsurface soil of different LUS followed decreasing trends in different land uses. The higher SQI value was due to less anthropogenic activity such as no till practices, which allow to accumulation of leaf litter and diversity of weeds and other vegetation's in Pine system. The lowest SQI values in Rice-Potato and Rice-Cole crop could be induced tillage practices which enhances disruption of soil aggregates and decomposition of SOM and decreases other fertility parameters. Our results similar to Singh *et al*. (2013), they reported SQI rating was the highest for the least-disturbed soils and the lowest for most intensively cultivated land. They followed in the sequences Natural forestland>Grassland>cultivated low land>plantation land>cultivated upland terrace land uses in Dimapur, Nagaland. Hazarika *et al*. (2014) reported in India, they were found that the soil deterioration index higher for orchard soils relative to undisturbed forest site designated that orchard s2oils were in the grave state of degradation in terms of chemical characteristics and the degree of decline of soil quality increased with the increase of orchard age. Ampi, (2021); Das, (2021) also reported SQI in Arunachal Pradesh they were found that the highest SQI in forest soil relative to rice-fish farming system.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The conversion of mixed forest to cultivated land caused a decline in the parameters of soil quality, more severe in traditional agriculture (Jhum cultivation, Rice-Potato etc.) than natural mixed forest. However the results of study suggest that pine forest reduce the deterioration of soil fertility status, which enhances SQI in hill ecosystem of Meghalaya. Thus, finding of this study clearly showed that the proper selection of land uses according to the state of soil structural quality and soil quality index for better soil sustainability. Further higher soil quality was observed in Pine-Forest system.

REFERENCES

Abdel-Fattah, M.K., Mohamed, E.S., Wagdi, E.M., Shahin S.A., Aldosari, A.A., Lasaponara, R., and Alnaimy, M.A. (2021). Quantitative Evaluation of Soil Quality Using Principal Component Analysis: The Case Study of El-Fayoum Depression Egypt. *Sustain*., doi: s10.3390/s13041824.

Ampi, N. (2021). Development of soil physic-chemical quality index of rice-fish farming system in Apatani Plateau. M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, submitted to Central Agricultural University, Imphal, Manipur.

Andrews, S.S., Karlen, D.L., and Mitchell, J.P. (2002). A comparison of soil quality indexing methods for vegetable production systems in Northern California. *Agric. Eco. Environ.*, 90:25-45.

Bray, R.H., and Kurtz, L.T. (1945). Determination of total, organic and available forms of phosphorus in soils. *Soil Sci.,* 59:39-45.

Carter, M.R. (2002). Soil quality for sustainable land management." *Agron. J.*, 94:38-47.

Chanu, P.H. (2018). Land use effects on aggregation of acid soils under humid sub tropics. M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, submitted to Central Agricultural University, Imphal, Manipur.

Choudhury, B.U., Ansari, M.A., Chakraborty, M., and Meetei, T.T. (2021). Effect of land‐use change along altitudinal gradients on soil micronutrients in the mountain ecosystem of Indian (Eastern) Himalaya. *Nat.,* doi: s10.1038/s41598-021-93788-3.

Das, A. (2021). Assessment of soil biochemical quality indices of rice-fish farming system in Apatani Plateau. M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, submitted to Central Agricultural University, Imphal, Manipur.

Das, A., Lal, R., Pate, D.P., Ramkrushna, G.I., Layek, J., Ngachan, S.V., Ghosh, P.K., Bordoloi, J., and Kumar M. (2014). Effects of tillage and biomass on soil quality and productivity of lowland rice cultivation by small scale farmers in North Eastern India. *Soil Till. Res*., 143:50-58.

Datta, A., Basak, N., Chaudhari, S.K., and Sharma, D.K. (2015). Soil properties and organic carbon distribution under different land uses in reclaimed sodic soils of North-West India. *Geoderma Regional*, 4:134-146.

Davari, M., Gholami, L., Nabiollahi, K., Homaee, M., Joneidi, H., and Jafari, (2020). Deforestation and cultivation of sparse forest impacts on soil quality (case study: West Iran, Baneh). *Soil Till. Res*., doi: s10.1016/s104504.

Deb, S., Tiwari, B.K., and Lynrah, M.M. (2013). "Technological innovations in shifting agricultural practices by three tribal farming communities of Meghalaya, Northeast India. *Tropical Ecol*., 54:133-148.

Dengiz, O. (2019). Soil quality index for paddy fields based on standard scoring functions and weight allocation method. Archives Agro. Soil Sci., DOI: 10.1080/03650340.

Ditzler, C.A., and Tugel, A.J. (2002). Soil quality field tools: Experiences of USDA-NRCS soil quality institute. *Agron. J.*, 94:33-38.

Gupta, J.P., Sharma, M.P., and Bindroo, R.K. (2004). Characterization of healthy and unhealthy citrus orchard soils and plants of subtropical zone of Jammu region. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci*., 52:473-475.

Hanway, J.J., and Heidel, H. (1952). Soil analysis methods as used in Lowa State College Soil Testing Laboratory. *Lowa Agric.,* 57*:*1-31.

Hazarika, S., Thakuria, D., Ganeshamurthy, A.N., and Sakthivel, T. (2014). Soil quality as influenced by land use history of orchards in humid subtropics. *Catena*, 123:37-44.

Hinge, G. Surampalli, R.Y., Asce, D.M., Goyal, M.K., and Asce, A.M. (2019). Effects of land use and soil management on soil quality in India's northeastern Himalayas. *J. Environ. Eng*., 145(4):1-9. doi: s10.1061/s1943-7870.0001507.

Hombegowda, H.C., Jakhar, P., Madhu, M., and Marwei, Y. (2021). Thang bun': indigenous practice of in situ biochar preparation-cum-application for improved jhum cultivation in North East India. *Current Sci*., 120(7): 1-9.

ICAR (1983). Shifting cultivation North East India. Publication unit, ICAR, New Delhi, p-68.

Jiang, M., Ligang Xu, L., Chen, X., Zhu, H., and Fan, H. (2020). Soil Quality Assessment Based on a Minimum Data Set: A Case Study of a County in the Typical River Delta Wetlands. *Sustain.*, doi: s10.3390/s12219033.

Karlen, D.L., and Stott, D.E. (1994). A framework for evaluating physical and chemical indicators of soil quality. Pages 53-72, In Doran, J.W., Coleman, D.C., Bezdicek, D.F., and Stewart, B.A. (ed) Defining Soil Quality for a Sustainable Environment. SSSA Special Publication no.35, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI.

Laxminarayana, K. (2010). Microbial biomass in relation to soil properties under integrated farming systems of Meghalaya, India. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 41:332-345.

Lindsay, W.L., and W.A. Norvell. (1978). Development of a DTPA test for zinc, iron, manganese,and copper. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.,* 42:421-428.

Liu, M.Y., Chang, Q.R., Qi, Y.B., Liu, J., and Chen, T. (2014). Aggregation and soil organic carbon fractions under different land uses on the tableland of the Loess Plateau of China. *Catena,* 115:19-28.

Maithani, K., Arunachalam, A., Tripathi, R.S., and Pandey, H.N. (1998). Nitrogen mineralization as influenced by climate, soil and vegetation in a subtropical humid forest in northeast India. *Forest Ecol. Manage*., 109:91-101.

Majumdar, B., Kumar, K., VenkateshI, M.S., Patiram., and Bhatt, B.P. (2004). Effect of different Agroforestry system on soil properties in acidic Alfisols of Meghalaya. *J. Hill Res.,* 17(1): 1-5.

McGrath, D., and Zhang, C. (2003). Spatial distribution of soil organic carbon concentrations in grassland of Ireland. *Appl. Geochem*., 18:1629-1639.

Mishra, G., Marzaioli, R., Giria, K., Borah, R. and Dutta, A. (2017). Soil quality assessment under shifting cultivation and forests in North Eastern Himalaya of India. *Archives Agron. Soil Sci.*, doi: s10.1080/s03650340.

Mukherjee, A., and Lal, R. (2014) Comparison of soil quality index using three methods. *PLoS ONE*. 9(8): 1-8.

Nabiollahi, K., Taghizadeh-Mehrjardi, R., and Eskandari, S. (2018). Assessing and monitoring the soil quality of forested and agricultural areas using soil-quality indices and digital soil-mapping in a semi-arid environment," *Archives Agron. Soil Sci.*, 64: 696-707.

Neina, D. (2019). The Role of Soil pH in Plant Nutrition and Soil Remediation. *Appl. Environ. Soil Sci*., doi: s10.1155/s5794869.

Page, A.L., Miller, R.H. and Keeney, D.R. (1982). Methods of soil analysis. Part 2—chemical and microbiological properties, 2nd (Ed), Agron Monograph, 9: 961-1010, ASA, SSSA, CSSA, Madison, WI, pp. 539-594.

Parra-Gonzalez, S.D., and Rodriguez-Valenzuela, J. (2017). Determination of the Soil Quality Index by Principal Component Analysis in Cocoa Agroforestry System in the Orinoco Region, Colombia. 10(3): 1-8.

Prokop, P., Kruczkowska, B., Syiemlieh, H.J., and Bucala-Hrabia, A. (2018). Impact of topography and sedentary swidden cultivation on soils in the hilly uplands of North-East India. *Land Degrad. Dev*., doi: s10.1002/s3018.

Sharma, A.R., Jat, M.L., Saharawat, Y.S., Singh, V.P., and Singh, R. (2012). Conservation agriculture for improving productivity and resource-use efficiency: prospects and research needs in Indian context. *Indian J. Agron*., 57:131-140.

Sharma, V.K., and Mahajan, K.K. (1990). Studies on nutrient status of mandarin orchards in Himachal Pradesh. *Indian J. Horticulture*., 47:180-185.

Singh A.K., Bordoloi, L.J., Kumar, M., Hazarika, S., and Parmar, B. (2013). Land use impact on soil quality in eastern Himalayan region of India. *Environ. Monit. Assess*., 186:2013-2024.

Singh, A.K., Bordoloi, L.J., Kumar, M., Hazarika, S., and Parmar, B. (2014). Land use impact on soil quality in eastern Himalayan region of India. *Environ. Monit. Assess*., 186:2013-2024.

Subbiah, B.V., and Asija, G.L. (1956). A rapid procedure for the determination of available nitrogen in soils. *Curr. Sci.*, 25:259-260.

Tellen, V.A., and Yerima, B.P.K. (2018). Effects of land use change on soil physicochemical properties in selected areas in the North West region of Cameroon. *Environ. Syst. Res.,* doi: s10.1186/s40068.

Tripathi, O.P., Pandey, H.N., and Tripathi, R.S. (2009). Litter production, decomposition and physico-chemical properties of soil in 3 developed agroforestry systems of Meghalaya, Northeast India. *African J. Plant Sci*., 3(8): 160-167.

UN, 2017 UN World Population Prospects: The 2017 Revision, Key Findings and Advance Tables. Working Paper No. ESA/P/WP/248 United Nations, New York, NY (2017)

Vanlaldulati, A. (2011). Soil fertility and productivity of Khasi Mandarin along the hill slope of Meghalaya. M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, submitted to Central Agricultural University, Imphal, Manipur.

Venkatesh, M.S., Mishra, A.K., Satapathy, K.K., and Patiram. (2001). Effect of burning on soil properties under Bun cultivation in Meghalaya. *J. Hill Res*., 14(1): 21-25.

Wang, B., Xue, S., Liu, G.B., Zhang, G., Li, G., and Ren, Z. (2012.) Changes in soil nutrient and enzyme activities under different vegetations in the Loess Plateau area, Northwest China. *Catena*, 92:186-195.

Wanshnong, R.K., Thakuria D., Sangama, C.B., Ram, V., and Bora, P.K. (2013). Influence of hill slope on biological pools of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in acidic alfisols of citrus orchard. *Catena*, 111:1-8.

Wapongnungsang, Saplalrinliana, H., and Tripathi, S.K. (2020). Impact of Low Cost Indigenous Soil Inputs on Soil Fertility in different Fallow Lands following Shifting Cultivation in Muallungthu, Mizoram. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci*., 68(2): 210-220.

Zornzoa, R., Mataix-Solera., Guerrero, C., Arcenegui, V., Mataix-Beneyto, J., and Gomez, I. (2008). Validating the effectiveness and sensitivity of two soil quality indices based on natural forest soils under Mediterranean conditions. *Soil Biol. Biochem*., 40(9): 2079-2087.

Zothansiami, C., Bora, P.K., Kharlyndoh, A., Das, P.T., Chodhury, B.U. and Ram, V. (2017). Alternate land use plan for a peri-urban watershed in sub-tropical humid region of Meghalaya, India. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci*., 65(4): 369-378.