

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_97037
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of LULC changes in Samastipur District of Bihar (India) using Geo-informatics
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes No Yes No Yes Yes	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is proposed that the title is revised by changing LULC to land cover and land use. The abstract should be revised thoroughly. Many quantitative results need to be more varied. The abstract should be revised based on the following style: "1 to 1.5 lines to describe the topic of study. 1 line to the aim of the study. 1 to 3 lines to the methodology and tools. 1 to 4 lines to the main results. The main results should be more qualitative but not quantitatively." Subsections and structure should be revised based on the Journal guideline for Authors. The language needs some revisions overall.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Need to use some platforms such as Grammarly or Instatext.io	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Alireza Khoshkonesh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Birkbeck University of London, United Kingdom</b>