

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_98468
Title of the Manuscript:	Pure White Cell Aplasia with Secondary Hemophagocytosis: A rare condition
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalibr.com/index.php/IBRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, relatively rare presentation. Early diagnosis and treatment help minimize morbidity and mortality as outlined in the article.</p> <p>2. Yes - But would suggest adding the etiology that making it rare presentation - meaning autoimmune or idiopathic ?</p> <p>3. Again, need to mentioned what is the likely etiology in this particular case making it rare presentation</p> <p>4, yes, but need to include what is the etiology for pure white cell Aplasia in this case . For eg: if idiopathic cause - then need to explain - how did they rule out the common causes and what made them reach to that conclusion.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. All references mentioned were isolated case reports. If possible try to mention any case series or if any prospective or retrospective studies that involved pure white blood cell aplasia.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but need to edit sentence formation and grammar mistakes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anusha Yanamadala
Department, University & Country	Plains regional Medical Center, USA