

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Oncology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AORJ_98631
Title of the Manuscript:	ULTRASONOGRAPHY EVALUATION OF HORMONE RECEPTOR STATUS AND MOLECULAR SUBTYPES OF MALIGNANT BREAST MASSES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalaorj.com/index.php/AORJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Thought-provoking article that suggests ultrasound features of a breast cancer could be used to some extent in predicting the molecular subtype of breasts cancer. 2. Yes, title is suitable. 3. Yes, abstract is comprehensive. 4. Subsections and structure are appropriate. 5. Although the Posterior features were found statistically significant ($p=0.017$) the numbers of the study (55 patients) are too small to draw conclusions. 6. Yes, references are sufficient. Authors should emphasize the sample size is small to draw significant conclusions.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Thought-provoking article that suggests ultrasound features of a breast cancer could be used to some extent in predicting the molecular subtype of breasts cancer. Interesting article. Findings consistent with other articles in the same respect, but small group of patients (55) to draw significant conclusions.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fernando Cordera
Department, University & Country	ABC Medical Center, Mexico